Uncovering THE Mysteries OF Transfer: A Critical Examination OF Elements Affecting Nursing Skill Development

Dr. Somaya Ebrahim Aljediba[1*] Amani Mohammed Ahmed Mukhdhari[2,] Suzan Nasser Ahmed Mahdi[3,] Suzan Nasser Ahmed Mahdi[3,] Nouf Agail Bashakur[5,] Amal Abdullah Hamad Khardali[6,] Fatimah Yahya Ahmed Meshari[7,] Elham Hassan Ali Hussen[8,] Manal Hassan Abdullah Alhariri[9] Amani Abdulrahman Ahmed Abusharha[11,] Salihah Hussain Mohammed Zaidan[12] Mohmmad Hassan Mahnashi[13,] Abdulrahman Obaid Almutairi[14,] Faisal Hamad Almutairi[15,] Khalidiyyah Shubayli Mohammed Alshehri[17]

[1*]Speciality / Training Resident- Family Medicine
[2]Speciality /Technician-Nursing
[3]Speciality /Technician-Nursing
Khadejah Mohammed Abdullah Gamash[4,]
[4]Speciality / Technician-Nursing
[5]Speciality /Nursing technician
[6]Speciality /Healthy Assistant
[7]Speciality /Technician- Nursing
[8]Employer/ alshatea Primary care center
[9]Speciality /specialist nursing
[10]Speciality /Nursing
[11]Speciality /Technician-Nursing
[12,13,14,15,16,17]Speciality / Technician-Nursing

*Corresponding Author:- Dr. Somaya Ebrahim Aljediba
[*]Speciality / Training Resident- Family Medicine

Abstract:
Knowledge and skill transfer is essential to efficient nursing practice. This study explores the intricacies of transfer and how it might help close the theory-practice gap while promoting flexibility throughout clinical specialties. The study looks at how situational elements, metacognitive processes, and cognitive structures interact to affect transfer success. It makes the case for a methodical approach to teaching for transfer that emphasizes the value of both subject-matter expertise and metacognitive techniques. It is emphasized how important it is for supervisors and context-specific learning opportunities to support strong skill development. It is understood that there are drawbacks to relying too much on general practice environments and that a deeper comprehension of how skills change over time is necessary. The report concludes by highlighting the critical role that research plays in providing educators and supervisors with evidence-based strategies to maximize transfer in the clinical setting.

Keywords: metacognition, skill acquisition, nursing practice, nursing education, and transfer of learning.

introduction:

The process of transfer has a lot of significant effects on nursing practice and practice-oriented education. Transfer is the capacity to behave in a new and innovative circumstance by using metacognitive techniques or to apply knowledge learned in one scenario to another that is similar.

Typically, transfer is understood in terms of the cognitive and metacognitive techniques required for transfer to occur. There may be several distinct kinds of transfer activity, and it appears that transfer involves a variety of intricate cognitive and metacognitive processes that are still poorly understood (Pratt & Jennings 1996). Transfer of psychomotor and interpersonal abilities is a challenge that is often ignored. In practice, this is particularly troublesome for professions like nursing, where understanding the mechanism of skills transfer is essential to preparing nurses to work in a constantly changing environment.

Knowledge and skill transfer has been characterized as education's primary objective (Prawat 1989) and its ultimate goal for lifelong learning (Fogarty 1995). Voss (1987) suggests that transfer takes precedence over learning because he is obviously sure of this. Transfer is the capacity to access and apply one's intellectual resources in circumstances where they might be pertinent, according to Prawat (1989). Therefore, it can be argued that transfer and transferability mean that information, metacognitive techniques, and psychomotor abilities learned in one environment can be used in another.

Additionally, there is the tacit presumption that Knowledge acquired in a course of study can be applied to different contexts that may arise at a time and location that is relatively different from the initial learning environment.

Consequently, this transfer procedure leads to new comprehension and meaning (Coleman et al. 1997), or the application of fresh knowledge or previously acquired abilities in a foreign setting (Price & Driscoll 1997). Transfer, then, is a component of an endless cycle of meaning and practice reconstruction and development.

Transferring information and abilities is a crucial task for practitioners as well as students. For practitioners, especially nursing students, to adjust to the changing needs of their profession, they must possess valuable information that is not limited to a certain application or setting. Even though the theory-practice gap presents numerous challenges, students are frequently given the notion that transferring across clinical fields is not too difficult. It's likely that there has been an oversimplification of the process that facilitates transfer between clinical fields.

The Role of Mental Organization and Memory in Knowledge Transfer

Prawat (1989) distinguishes between more recent dynamic conceptions of transfer and older static ideas. Transfer is conceptualized by static conceptions as the application of knowledge learned in one context to another. Conversely, dynamic transfer is concerned with how people behave when they lack the necessary information or abilities. Despite the significant differences between the two perspectives on transfer, they both address the issue of how people acquire knowledge or metacognitive techniques so they can comprehend or respond to a particular circumstance. Knowledge, skills, and metacognitive strategies are considered to be associated to transfer (Renkl et al. 1996). Access is a precondition for effective transfer since it is the capacity to make concepts and abilities available when needed. Access depends on two elements ,namely As awareness and organization, according to Prawat (1989).

The mental structure of knowledge and the connections between different knowledge units are referred to as organization. It has been demonstrated that novices and experts have distinct knowledge architectures, with experts possessing a higher proportion of middle-range concepts (Sternberg 1981). The capacity to pay attention to one's own knowledge is known as awareness, and it is fostered when students are made aware of what both what they know and don't know. It is a long-standing mystery why people don't seem to apply the information that is assumed of them and don't use it to address a specific issue (Renkl et al. (1996). It is quite unlikely that educators or clinical supervisors have not seen this perplexing occurrence. This is not just an odd but also a minor issue because it has been demonstrated that pupils who are better able to obtain knowledge also transfer knowledge more successfully. Although information is possessed by individuals, Prawat (1989) and Renkl et al. (1996) provide very similar arguments for why it is not accessed and employed in a particular scenario. According to their suggestions, cognitive structure, metacognitive processes, and environmental circumstances could be three potential causes of an inability to successfully transfer information and skills and the ensuing lack of access.

The manner that knowledge, skills, and metacognitive methods are structured and arranged, as well as an individual's awareness of them, determine their accessibility. Constructivist learning theories demonstrate how people actively build knowledge rather than just passively absorbing it (Lauder 1996). Schemas, concepts, and ideas are the building blocks of knowledge, and they all exhibit individual and developmental variances in the degree of intricacy and interconnectedness (Cust 1995). It is challenging to transfer knowledge that is poorly organized and lacks strong connections between concepts, ideas, and schemata. It is believed that memory, the bogeyman of the mainstream educational discourse, is essential to the transfer of knowledge (Halpern, 1998) and skills (Singer, 1982). Halpern is actually explaining the structure and organization of information when she makes reference to memory.

Issues that arise during the coding and storing of information and skills may make it more likely that people won't be able to find and recover the knowledge and skills that are required for transfer to take place. In proposing that: Halpern (1989) fully rehabilitates memory as essential to transfer

The recognition or notice that a specific thinking skill may be required is a crucial feature of an ecologically acceptable critical-thinking process. This represents the transfer's weak point. Since identifying the necessity of a certain skill requires the ability of outside stimuli to start retrieval processes in long-term memory, it can be understood as a memory issue (p 453).

Robins (1996) recognized similarity as a major topic in a study of the transfer literature. It has been discovered that task similarity increases memory recall, enhances problem solving, and results in more efficient learning. It seems as though there are several varieties of resemblance, such as surface, deep, and element. The transfer of skills and knowledge appears to be influenced by cognitive structure, linkages and associations between knowledge, and the application of memory retrieval processes, according to our understanding of the concept.

The Key to Unlocking Learning and Transferring Knowledge

According to Jo (1993), metacognition is the process of actively observing and controlling oneself so that one's own mental processes are the focus of introspection. This statement's profundity is evident in its suggestion that one aspect of the mind is capable of controlling, analyzing, and exploring another aspect of the mind. Essentially, one aspect of the mind

takes a step back and considers the ideas of another aspect of the mind. The mind considers the outside world as part of its metacognitive process, which is not solely internal.

Metacognition encompasses a variety of intricate techniques that people might employ to enable efficient transmission, and these techniques can be categorized along a specific-general spectrum. General control procedures encompass a range of heuristic techniques as well as monitoring, analyzing, anticipating, planning, assessing, regulating, and amending (Pesut & Herman 1992). A general approach, according to Halpern (1998), focuses on the fundamental structure of arguments and problems, which serve as cues for information retrieval. On the other hand, it seems that certain methods are distinguished by their attention to domain-specific surface characteristics.

According to Prawat, there is a trade-off between the effectiveness and teachability of particular techniques, like using mnemonic devices to help people remember procedures, and the weaker, less directive, but more broadly applicable techniques, including executive self-regulation abilities. Teaching generic techniques is challenging because, although such concepts are simple to comprehend conceptually, it is far more difficult to convert them into practical, teachable processes. In the context of learning and learning transfer, the concept of a strategy includes both cognitive and metacognitive processes. Lehrer and Littlefield (1993) outlined the connections between cognition, metacognition, and action. Their route analysis of transfer provides evidence in favor of the theory that working memory, representation, metacognition, and performance are the interrelated systems that govern transfer. Transfer requires metacognition, according to Fogarty (1994). Klein (1994) discovered a direct correlation between metacognitive score and transfer.

Higher transfer ability score subjects employed more metacognitive techniques. Metacognitive process-oriented problem solvers outperformed a control group of non-process-oriented pupils on transfer tasks, according to Bernardi-Coletta et al. (1995). Constructivist learning theories have established Subject matter expertise at the core of instruction and learning (Cust 1995). The question of how knowledge and strategy relate to one another has often caused educationalists to become frustrated and even angry. According to Harmon (1993), the two main concerns that we need to comprehend completely are: The relationship between knowledge and strategy , The impact of knowledge-neutral techniques. Additionally, Harmon raises the possibility that the relationship is dialectical, meaning that knowledge and strategy are interconnected rather than just adopting either the content-dependent or content-independent approach. According to Prawat (1989), there exist both content-dependent and content-independent metacognitive methods, and the evidence clearly shows that both viewpoints are true in specific situations. Subject knowledge enables one to operate complicated machinery and engage in higher levels of cognition. techniques for metacognition (Harmon 1993). When applied in the context of subject knowledge, cognitive and metacognitive processes enable a more thorough representation of the problem. A more thorough depiction makes reflective monitoring of the problem-solving process easier and more successful. Harmon comes to the conclusion that the best issue-solving behaviors are a result of reflective thought processes, which promote problem elaboration.

From Theory to Practice in Nursing Education

Transfer may be impacted by the situational context in which learning occurs or action is necessary, in this case the clinical setting. There is no need for a lengthy reiteration of the issues that have an impact on the caliber of the clinical learning experience for nursing students (Knight 1998a). For nurses, there is no getting around the fact that education and practice must occur in a clinical setting, which may be both beneficial and harmful to efficient learning and well-informed practice. Poor transfer may be caused by a variety of factors, including length of training, familiarity with the current clinical environment, short placements, the difference between the work and learning paces, and environments lacking cues for the retrieval of knowledge and skills. As things stand right now, there is just not enough information available to determine what set of circumstances, for what kind of individual, participating in what kind of activity, is least likely to foster effective transmission.

Thus far, our attention has been drawn to transfer as an entirely cognitive or metacognitive concept. The issue of skill transmission is obviously quite interesting to practitioners. Parallel to but distinct from the cognitive literature has been the development of the skills transfer literature (Robins 1996). The subject of skill acquisition has gained attention due to evaluations of Project 2000 courses, and there is now more interest in this area (Knight 1998ab, Nicol & Glen 1998). According to Katz and Hartman-Maeir (1997), metacognition is intrinsically connected to professional success in areas like decision-making abilities (Dixon et al. 1997). According to Singer (1982), one of the biggest obstacles to learning is people's inability to understand the connection between the "now" and the "then." Runciman (1990) questions if transfer is a central idea in competence-based education, and if so, what is meant by transfer, how is it demonstrated, and how is it to be evaluated in her review of competence-based learning.

Pattern recognition and action sequences serve as the foundation for condition-action rules, or products, which store the procedural information needed to participate in practice skills (Cust 1995). See Knight (1998ab) for a more comprehensive analysis of the ideas pertaining to skill acquisition as well as a review of the relevant literature. This essay will focus only on the particular problem of transfer. Singer (1982) explains how strategy works in the execution of a skill: In order to better learn and recall an act or piece of information, a learner can apply strategies to impose structure on cue and movement information. He or she associates what functions in a specific circumstance. (page 187). Quinn (1995) makes a distinction between the transfer of general concepts and particular talents in his study of skills transfer. Apart from their effect on transfer, Quinn does not specify how these two ideas vary from one another. When he

differentiates between general and specific transfer effects, Singer (1982) used the terms general and specific in a different way.

Singer makes the case—using sports as an example—that lower skill levels can evolve across sports due to general transfer effects. In order to advance to a higher level of proficiency, certain transfer effects are required. Effectively, practicing is what qualifies as "learning to learn" in terms of skill transfer:

Acts can be refined and synchronized by practicing those talents in the right performance setting, not by relying on generalized transfer functions. (Page 183 of Singer, 1982) In Quinn's categorization, the terms "general" and "specific" relate to cognitive schemata for skill performance, but in Singer's classification, they relate to psychomotor skills. According to Singer, distinct teaching methods are required to produce various skill performance levels. Early competency development phases, like the Common Foundation program, can benefit from simulation and clinical experience across a larger range of locations. Fewer placements that allow for more in-person practice are required in the later stages when one hopes to achieve greater performance levels (Cust 1995).

Cust adds that defining core competencies and utilizing them to organize curricula can be beneficial in this regard. The four mechanisms by which skill transfer occurs include encoding specificity (memory), organization (cognition), discriminating (metacognition), and propensity to adopt specific methods (psychomotor). These processes were established by Sternberg and Frensch (1993). The previously discussed metacognitive, cognitive, and psychomotor components are combined into these four processes.

Therefore, the capacity to transfer and the challenges encountered in doing so would suggest that competence is not a process in which pupils advance linearly as suggested by the often used metaphor of the "learning curve." Students may really take a step backward or sideways on the competence ladder while switching between contexts. This contradicts the prevailing rhetoric in education, which emphasizes linearity and progress as central concepts (Foucault 1980).

Teaching Strategies for Effective Knowledge Transfer in Nursing Education
Rather than conceptual knowledge or problem solving, memory for particular processes plays a major role in mediating transfer in complex procedures (Dixon et al. 1997). Subjects retrieved step sequences to complete portions of a method by using the outward similarities across tasks. Quinn (1995) seems to disagree when he suggests that the secret to talent transmission is a grasp of broad concepts. Understanding requires knowledge of the topic matter's organization. Quinn does not provide any empirical support for this claim, but he also seems to suggest that transfer is easy when pupils understand a skill conceptually. Instruction for transfer At the heart of the "teach for knowledge" vs "teach to learn" dispute are transfer questions. Supporters of the former contend that knowledge and skills acquisition of the subject should take precedence over teaching facts.

 Proponents of the "teach to learn" approach contend that as content becomes outdated quickly, we should focus on teaching topic-independent learning skills, which will help students develop the metacognitive abilities that help them acquire knowledge. Study skills classes are arguably the most well-known manifestation of the teach to learn movement. Study skills approaches are criticized by Nisbet and Shucksmith (1986). They contend that the idea that cognitive talents can be taught and then used to the acquisition of specialized information is irrational and unfounded. According to Cust (1995), there is evidence that study skills courses may be counterproductive at worst, and at best, the effectiveness of these programs in facilitating the transfer of learning strategies to subject matter is unclear.
The question at hand is whether teaching broad, content-independent metacognitive methods is necessary or if teaching highly targeted, content-dependent strategies is more appropriate. Teachers who are teaching for transfer face a dilemma when it comes to the conflict between general and specific metacognitive strategies. Prawat (1989) criticizes concepts with imprecise sounds, like reflection, because they are hard to operationalize and, when they are, the results are typically drab and surface-level. While certain tactics are simple to teach, they are situationally limited. Although general techniques cover a wider range of scenarios, they are also highly challenging to teach. According to Halpern (1998), transfer students need to be taught how to identify circumstances in which a particular ability or tactic could be helpful. Stem statements, think-aloud methods, double-entry journals, self-administered checklists, portfolios, verbalization, dialogue, writing about content, connecting formal and informal knowledge, key ideas, and learning by teaching other students are just a few of the many teaching strategies that have been suggested (Coleman et al. 1997, Price & Driscoll 1997).
Not every instructional strategy that is suggested has evidence to back up its claims of being successful in fostering transfer. Price and Driscoll (1997) showed that opportunities for repeating tasks on similar difficulties, prior exposure to a familiar setting, and process-oriented feedback did not facilitate transfer. Metaphors and analogies are two potent tools for encouraging transfer.

(Robins 1996, Vosniadou & Brewer 1987). Using deep rivers and shallow rapids to illustrate to students the distinctions between laminar and turbulent blood flow is one example of an analogy. It seems widely agreed upon that when teaching metacognitive methods, either general or specific, students should first be introduced to them within the context of their work (Prawat 1989). Knowledge of nursing has a higher probability of activating when it is needed if it is learned in a genuine environment.
It's also thought that there has been a case made for the explicit teaching of transfer abilities, where the significance of prior topic knowledge is taken into consideration (Halpern 1998). Leighton and Sheldon (1997) provide a method of

teaching decision-making abilities that explicitly acknowledges the need for metacognitive abilities in order to transfer simulation-based decision-making abilities to actual clinical settings. In an attempt to address the issue of skill acquisition, Nicol and Glen (1998) have argued for the reintroduction of the practical room; however, they do not fully address the drawbacks of this strategy, and the problem of transfer is viewed as unsolvable.

Fostering Adaptable Nurses Through Effective Transfer of Knowledge in Clinical Settings
Nicol and Glen's assumption is susceptible to challenge, as studies have indicated that organizational limitations occur in numerous clinical domains that hinder nurses' ability to provide specific patient care abilities. According to a recent study, abilities acquired in one clinical field might not be easily transferred to another. The study examined the impact of an empathy education program on nurses' empathy (Reynolds 1998). According to Reynolds, clinical difficulties of clients (such as misunderstanding and lack of trust), lack of privacy, disruptions to clinical work, and lack of support from insensitive colleagues in situations where the skill mix is insufficient are some of the hurdles to transfer. The premise is based on nurses' opinions of the useful elements of instruction in the empathy course that Reynolds (1998) looked at. The results of the study showed that RNs in the experimental group, who had completed an empathy skills course, scored much higher than the control group on a cognitive-behavioral empathy test. Nurse post-course interviews in the experimental group found that listening to the audiotaped tapes of nurse-client interviews proved to be the most beneficial course component.

The evaluation of clinical data, according to nurses, allowed them to determine if the language they used was helpful or harmful. The results of post-course interviews further demonstrated that learning required the supportive environment of clinical supervision. Although it is evident that practice is necessary, there is some evidence to suggest that excessive practice may hinder transfer (Robins 1996). When tasks are "over-embedded" in the practice context, transfer failures may arise (Detterman & Sternberg 1993). According to Robins (1996), this can be explained by the production-rules based theory, which holds that tasks cannot be transferred to circumstances and contexts that are not precisely equivalent to those that have already been learnt because of an unduly restrictive condition clause of production. The problem of unpredictability, which is a characteristic of nursing practice, affects how people are exposed to practice as well as how skills are learned and transferred. Individuals must be exposed to a range of well designed and organized learning experiences in order to build strong and adaptable skills (Robins 1996).

conclusion:
Nursing places a great deal of weight on the concept of transfer, which affects both practice and education. Problems with transfer include the theory-practice divide, knowledge transfer between clinical specializations, and applying knowledge from related fields to nursing practice. Numerous cognitive structural, metacognitive, and environmental elements may have an impact on transfer. The overwhelming body of research supports the ideas that subject knowledge and metacognitive strategy are not mutually exclusive and that teaching for transfer requires a context. Rather, knowledge and continuous practice are essential for the development of higher level skill performance. The field needs to devote more mental energy to investigating the most effective ways to transmit skills in a therapeutic setting and to gaining a more thorough grasp of how abilities evolve over time.
Sadly, it appears we are always forced to rely on trite reminders to understand the function and significance of supervisory roles and clinical practice. Since there isn't much disagreement on this, making this point is kind of pointless. However, if one considers that context is the optimum place to teach for effective transfer, then supervisors play a crucial role— regardless of how overused this concept may become. There is still more work to be done in this area, and we should go beyond well-intentioned but boring platitudes like "reflection" and instead give teachers and supervisors evidence-based answers to the transfer challenges.

References:
1. Bernardi-Coletta B, Buyer LS, Dominowski RL, Rellinger ER 1995 metacognition and problem solving: a process-oriented approach. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition 21(1): 205–203
2. Coleman EB, Brown AL, Rivkin ID 1997 The effect of instructional explanations on learning from scientific texts. Journal of the Learning Sciences 6(4): 347–365
3. Cust J 1995 Recent cognitive perspectives on learning- implications for nurse education. Nurse Education Today 15: 280–290
4. Detterman DK, Sternberg RJ (eds) 1993 Transfer of trial: intelligence, cognition, and instruction. Ablex, Norwood
5. Dixon P, Zimmerman C, Nearey S 1997 Prior experience and complex procedures. Memory and Cognition 25(3): 381–394
6. Fraser DM 1996 Pre-registration midwifery programmes: a case study evaluation of the non-midwifery placements. Midwifery 12(1): 16–22
7. Fogarty R 1995 Best practices for the learner-centred classroom: a collection of articles. Skylight, Arlington Heights
8. Foucault M 1980 Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and other Writings 1972–1977. Wheatsheaf, New York
9. Halpern DF 1998 Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domains: dispositions, skills, structure training, and metacognitive monitoring. American Psychologist 53(4): 449–455

10. Harmon MG 1993 The role of strategies and knowledge in problem solving: results and implications for education. Education 115: 580–588

11. Jo ML 1993 Hints and leaner control for metacognitive strategies in problem solving. Proceedings of Selected Research and Development Presentations at the Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology. New Orleans January 13–17

12. Katz N, Hartman-Maeir A 1997 Occupational performance and metacognition. Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy 64(2): 53–62

13. Klein DCD 1994 Transferring critical skills across content areas: The effect of multiple content areas and summary generation on the analogical problem solving

14. of regular and honors students. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans

15. Knight CM 1998 Evaluating a skills centre: the acquisition of psychomotor skills in nursing – a review of the literature. Nurse Education Today 18: 441–447

16. Knight CM 1998 Evaluating a skills centre: the acquisition of psychomotor skills in nursing – a review of the literature. Nurse Education Today 18: 448–454

17. Lauder W 1996 Constructing meaning in the learning process: the role of alternative theoretical frameworks. Journal of Advanced Nursing 24: 91–97

18. Lehrer R, Littlefield J 1993 relationships among cognitive components in Logo learning and transfer. Journal of Educational Psychology 85(2): 317–330

19. Leighton RD, Sheldon MR 1997 Model for teaching clinical decision making in a physical therapy professional curriculum. Journal of Physical Therapy Education 11(2): 23–30

20. Nicol M, Glen S 1998 Learning clinical skills: the return of the practical room. Nurse Education Today 427–428 Nisbet J, Shucksmith J 1986 Learning Strategies. Routledge

21. & Kegan Paul, London Novak J 1991 Clarify with concept maps. The Science Teacher 7: 45–49

22. Pratt L, Jennings B 1996 A survey of transfer between connectionist networks. Journal of Neural Computing 8(2): 163–184

23. Prawat RS 1989 Promoting access to knowledge, strategy, and disposition in students: a research synthesis.

24. Review of Educational Research 59(1): 1–41 Pesut DJ, Herman J 1992 Metacognitive skills in diagnostic reasoning: making the implicit explicit. Nursing Diagnosis 3(4): 148–154

25. Price EA, Driscoll MP 1997 An inquiry into the spontaneous transfer of problem-solving skill. Contemporary Educational Psychology 22(4): 472–494

26. Quinn FM 1995 The principles and practice of nurse education (3rd ed). Chapman and Hall, London

27. Reynolds W 1998 A Study of the effects of an empathy education programme on registered nurses. Empathy. PhD Thesis, Open University

28. Renkl A, Mandl H, Gruber H 1996 Inert knowledge: analysis and remedies. Educational Psychologist 31(2): 115–121

29. Robins A 1996 Transfer in cognition. Journal of Neural Computing 8(2): 185–203

30. Runciman P 1990 Competence-based education and the assessment and accreditation of work based learning in the context of Project 2000 programme of nurse education. National Board for Scotland, Edinburgh

31. Singer RN 1982 The learning of motor skills. MacMillan, New York

32. Sternberg RJ 1981 Elaborative and integrative thought processes in mathematics learning. Journal of Educational Psychology 73: 1–16

33. Sternberg RJ, Frensch PA 1993 Mechanisms of transfer. In: Detterman DK, Sternberg RJ (eds). Transfer of Trial: Intelligence, Cognition, and Instruction. Norwood, Ablex

34. Sullivan GE 1994 The impact of student thinking journals and generic problem solving software on problem

35. solving performance. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans

36. Vosniadou S, Brewer WF 1987 Theories of knowledge restructuring in development. Review of Educational Research 11: 607–622

37. Voss JF 1987 Learning and transfer in subject matter learning: a problem solving model. International Journal of Educational Research 11: 607–622